IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE SEVENTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.C. BHANU

WRIT PETITION NO.1753 of 2005

Between:

Ponnamalla Solman Raju, S/o.Late Surya Rao,
A.P.E.P.D.C.Ltd., Gopalapuram, W.G.Dist. R/o.F3, Sai Sadan Apartments,
Prakash Nagar, Rajahmundry, E.G.Dist.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1. Chief General Manager, Human Resource Development A.P.E.P.D.C.Ltd.,

Opp.Sraswathi Park, Daba Gardens, Visakhapatnam, VisakhapatnamDist.
2 Chairman & Managing Director, A.P.E.P.D.C.Ltd., Opp: Saraswathi Park,

Daba Gardens, Visakhapatnam District.

.....RESPONDENT(S)

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ of Mandamus, or any other appropriate writ, order or direction, declaring the action of the respondents in issuing Memo.No.CGM/HRD/DS/AS-DC/PO-S(HF)/F No.22/04, D.No.14/04 dt. 4-1-2004 to the petitioner as illegal, arbitrary, unjust and contrary to law.

Counsel for the Petitioner:MRS.D.GEETHA

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 and 2: SMT E.URMILA

The Court at the admission stage made the following Order:

Challenging the action of the respondents in issuing the impugned Memo No.CGM/HRD/DS/AS-DC/PO-S(HF)/F No.22/04, D.No.14/04, dated 04.01.2004, the petitioner filed the present writ petition.

Alleging that the petitioner was trapped by the ACB officials on 02.01.2004 for demanding and accepting the bribe amount of Rs.5,000/-, the first respondent placed the petitioner under suspension vide Memo dated 04.01.2004. Questioning the said order, the petitioner preferred an appeal to the second respondent and the same is pending consideration.

In pursuance of the report submitted by the Divisional Electrical Engineer, Nidadavole, the second respondent has to take appropriate decision whether to revoke the suspension order or not.

Therefore, without going into merits of the case, I dispose of the writ petition directing the second respondent to take appropriate decision and pass appropriate orders on the appeal filed by the petitioner within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order after duly taking into consideration the report dated 11.01.2004 submitted by Divisional Electrical Engineer, Nidadavole.

The writ petition is accordingly disposed of. No costs.

07.02.2004

Note:CC in two days

bo

usd

To

1. The Chief General Manager, Human Resource Development

A.P.E.P.D.C.Ltd., Opp.Sraswathi Park, Daba Gardens, Visakhapatnam,

Visakhapatnam District.
2 The Chairman & Managing Director, A.P.E.P.D.C.Ltd., Opp: Saraswathi

Park, Daba Gardens, Visakhapatnam District.

3. Two CD copies.